## JURY VERDICT SHEET

## Panzarella v. Lorillard Tobacco Company et. al. DOCKET NO. MID-L-5418-12AS

For each question you may be required to answer below, your vote must be 6-2, 7-1, or 8-0 NOTE: At least six (6) jurors must agree on the answer to each question, but the same six (6) jurors do not have to agree on each answer. Please answer each question separately. In some circumstances, a particular question will be answered already based upon the response to an earlier question. Even if you disagree with that answer you must accept it as true and deliberate

on the next question.			
	Questions for the	Jury:	
Failu	re to Warn against A	All Defendants	
1. Has plaintiff profollowing defendants manufacts suitable and safe for its intended	ared, sold or distribute	ed a product that	ence that any of the was not reasonably fit, rarning?
A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V C. Whittaker Clark	Yes Yes Yes		Vote: 6-2 Vote: 6-2 Vote: <b>3-0</b>
Proceed to question number 2 "Yes". If you answered "No" as no further regarding Whittaker C	s to all defendants, pro	efendant for whom	n you have answered number 5, and proceed
4			
2. Has plaintiff prov was exposed to asbestos from following defendants that was foreseeable use because it lacked	a product manufacture not reasonably fit,	red, sold or distr	that Michael Argento ibuted by any of the for its intended or
<ul><li>A. Lorillard Tobacco</li><li>B. H&amp;V</li><li>C. Whittaker Clark</li></ul>	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	Vote: Vote:
Proceed to question number 3	with regard to any de	efendant for whom	n you have answered

f you answered "No" as to all defendants, proceed to question number 5, and proceed no further regarding Whittaker Clark.

3. Has plaintiff proven by preponderance of the evidence that Michael Argento's exposure to asbestos from a product manufactured, sold or distributed by any of the following defendants that was not reasonably fit, suitable and safe for its intended or foreseeable use because of a lack of warning was a substantial factor in causing his mesothelioma?
A. Lorillard Tobacco Yes No Vote:  B. H&V Yes No Vote:  C. Whittaker Clark Yes No Vote:
Proceed to question number 4 with regard to any defendant for whom you have answered "Yes". If you answered "No" as to all defendants, proceed to question number 5, and proceed no further regarding Whittaker Clark.
4. Has either Lorillard or H&V proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time their product left their control, the danger that it could cause asbestos-related disease was not known or knowable?
A. Lorillard Tobacco Yes No Vote: B. H&V Yes No Vote:
Proceed to question number 5.
Design Defect against Lorillard and H&V Only
5. Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that either of the following defendants manufactured, sold or distributed a product that was not reasonably fit, suitable and safe for its intended or foreseeable use because it was defectively designed?
A. Lorillard Tobacco  B. H&V  Yes  Yes  Yes  No  Vote: 6-2  Vote: 6-2
Proceed to question number 6 with regard to any defendant for whom you have answered "Yes". If you answered "No" as to all defendants, proceed to question number 9.
6. Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Michael Argento was exposed to asbestos from a product manufactured, sold or distributed by either of the following defendants that was not reasonably fit, suitable and safe for its intended or foreseeable use because it was defectively designed?

A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V	Yes Yes	No No	Vote: Vote:
	0		
Proceed to question number 7 "Yes". If you answered "No" as	7 with regard to any s to all defendants, pro	defendant for whoceed to question r	om you have answere number 9.
7. Has plaintiff pro exposure to asbestos from a following defendants that was foreseeable use because it was mesothelioma?	product manufacture is not reasonably fit	ed, sold or distrib , suitable and sa	fe for its intended of
A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V	Yes	No No	Vote:
Proceed to question number 8			
"Yes". If you answered "No" as  8. Has either Lorilla	ard or H&V proven b	v a preponderance	of the evidence that or
	control, no practical a nted Michael Argento	and technically fear's injury without	substantially impairing
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven	control, no practical a nted Michael Argento	and technically fear's injury without	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct? Vote:
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven the reasonably anticipated or into A. Lorillard Tobacco	control, no practical anted Michael Argento ended, essential functions.	and technically fears's injury without ions of their produced	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct?
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven the reasonably anticipated or into A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V	control, no practical anted Michael Argento ended, essential functions.	and technically fear's injury without ions of their productions of their productions. NoNo	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct? Vote:
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven the reasonably anticipated or into A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V  Proceed to question number 9.	control, no practical anted Michael Argento ended, essential functions YesYesYes mary of Claims agained "Yes" to questions	and technically fear's injury without ions of their productions of their productions. NoNoNo	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct?  Vote: Vote:
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven the reasonably anticipated or into A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V  Proceed to question number 9.  Sum:  9 Have you answere any of the following defendants?  A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V	control, no practical anted Michael Argento ended, essential functions YesYes  mary of Claims agained "Yes" to questions YesYesYes	and technically fear's injury without ions of their productions of their productions. NoNoNo	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct?  Vote: Vote:
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven the reasonably anticipated or into A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V  Proceed to question number 9.  Sum:  9 Have you answere any of the following defendants?  A. Lorillard Tobacco	control, no practical anted Michael Argento ended, essential functions YesYes  mary of Claims agained "Yes" to questions Yes	and technically fear's injury without ions of their productions of their productions. NoNoNo	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct?  Vote: Vote:
8. Has either Lorilla the time their product left their existed that would have preven the reasonably anticipated or into A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V  Proceed to question number 9.  Sum:  9 Have you answere any of the following defendants?  A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V	control, no practical anted Michael Argento ended, essential functions YesYes  mary of Claims agained "Yes" to questions YesYesYes	and technically fear's injury without ions of their productions of their productions. NoNoNo	sible alternative design substantially impairing ct? Vote: Vote:

10 Have you answere either of the following defendant	ed "Yes" to questions s?	s 5, 6 and7 and "N	o" to question 8 for
A. Lorillard Tobacco B. H&V	Yes Yes	X No	
If you answered "No" to question the court aide that you have reach any one defendant, proceed to qu	ned a verdict. If you a	endants, do not pro nnswered "Yes" to	oceed further and tell question 9 or 10 as to
Claim	s against US Gypsu	m and Scotts'	
11. Have defendants processing the following companies manufactures suitable and safe for its intended of	ed, sold or distribute	ed a product that	dence that either of the was not reasonably fit, varning?
A. US Gypsum B. Scott's	Yes	No	Vote:
Proceed to question number 12 vanswered "Yes". If you answered	vith regard to any of "No" as to both com	the two companipanies, proceed to	es for whom you have question number 14.
12. Have defendants Argento was exposed to asbestos the following companies that wa foreseeable use because it lacked a	from a product manuas not reasonably fi	ifactured, sold or	evidence that Michael distributed by either of fe for its intended or
A. US Gypsum B. Scott's	Yes Yes	No	Vote:
Proceed to question number 13 wanswered "Yes". If you answered	vith regard to any of "No" as to both comp	the two companion the two companions, proceed to	es for whom you have question number 14.

13. Have defendants proven by preponderance of the evidence that Michael Argento's exposure to asbestos from a product manufactured, sold or distributed by either of the following companies that was not reasonably fit, suitable and safe for its intended or foresceable use because of a lack of warning was a substantial factor in causing his mesothelioma?

B. Scott's	Yes Yes	No No	Vote: Vote:
Proceed to question number	14.		
Summa	ry of Claims against US (	Gypsum and Sco	otts'
14. Have you answ companies?	wered "Yes" to questions 1	1, 12 and 13 for	either of the fol
A. US Gypsum	Yes	No	
B. Scott's	Yes	No	
Proceed to question 15.			
	Apportionment and Da	mages	
any company that you answer	ant that you answered "Ye red "Yes" as to question 14	set forth the ne	reentage that up
any company that you answer describes or measures their confidence of the percentages must add up include them in your allocation	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the <u>happenin</u> p to 100%. For any con	set forth the pe	rcentage that yo
any company that you answer describes or measures their confirmed the percentages must add up include them in your allocation A. Lorillard	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the <u>happenin</u> p to 100%. For any con	l, set forth the pe g of Michael Arg npany you answ	rcentage that yogento's mesothered "No" to, c
any company that you answer describes or measures their confirmed the percentages must add up include them in your allocation A. Lorillard B. H&V	red "Yes" as to question 14 patribution to the <u>happenin</u> p to 100%. For any conn.	set forth the pe	rcentage that you gento's mesother ered "No" to, o
any company that you answer describes or measures their confirmed the percentages must add up include them in your allocation A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any conn.	Vote:  Vote:	rcentage that you
any company that you answer describes or measures their confirmed them in your allocation A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker D.US Gypsum	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any con n.	Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote:	rcentage that you
any company that you answer describes or measures their confirmed the percentages must add up include them in your allocation A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any conn.	Vote:  Vote:	rcentage that you
any company that you answer describes or measures their confirmed them in your allocation A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker D.US Gypsum	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any conn.	Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote:	rcentage that you
A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker D.US Gypsum E. Scott's	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any conn.	Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote:	recentage that you gento's mesother ered "No" to, o
any company that you answer describes or measures their confidence of the percentages must add up include them in your allocation.  A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker D.US Gypsum E. Scott's  Proceed to question number 16	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any conn.	Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote:	recentage that you gento's mesother ered "No" to, o
any company that you answer describes or measures their confidence of the percentages must add up include them in your allocation.  A. Lorillard B. H&V C. Whittaker D.US Gypsum E. Scott's  Proceed to question number 16	red "Yes" as to question 14 ontribution to the happenin p to 100%. For any conn.	Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote: Vote:	rcentage that yegento's mesothered "No" to,  The Michael Arg  Ing from the on

Foreperson signature: obest wielk

Please tell the court aide you have feached a verdict.

Date: Quoust 15, 2016