

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

BELINDA D. MCALLISTER, ET AL.

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

NO. 18-361-SDD-RLB

**McDERMOTT, INC., f/k/a
J. RAY McDERMOTT & CO.,
INC., ET AL.**

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery Directed to Defendant, The Nash Engineering Company ("Nash") (R. Doc. 172) filed on October 25, 2019. Plaintiffs' motion seeks an order compelling Nash to provide supplemental responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 10, 12, and 15, and Requests for Production Nos. 10, 11, and 12. Nash filed an opposition indicating that supplemental responses were provided after the filing of the motion. (R. Doc. 200).

On November 12, 2019, the Court held oral argument on the instant motion. (R. Doc. 211). At oral argument, defense counsel represented that verification of the supplemental responses will be provided within 7 days of oral argument. The parties agreed that with respect to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory No. 10 and Request for Production No. 10, Nash would, within 14 days of oral argument, identify which affirmative defenses it was still pursuing in this action and provide a statement of material facts in support of each remaining affirmative defense. The parties represented that the motion to compel was withdrawn with respect to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 12 and 15, and Requests for Production Nos. 11 and 12.

Given the foregoing agreements between the parties,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery Directed to Defendant, The Nash Engineering Company (R. Doc. 172) is **DENIED AS MOOT**. The parties shall bear their own costs.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on November 14, 2019.



RICHARD L. BOURGEOIS, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE