Horvath v Ameron Intl. Corp.

Supreme Court of New York, Appellate Division, First Department April 23, 2024, Decided; April 23, 2024, Entered Index No. 190002/21, Appeal No. 2107, Case No. 2023-05558

Reporter

2024 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2170 *; 2024 NY Slip Op 02147 **

[**1] Susan Horvath etc., Plaintiff-Respondent, v Ameron International Corporation, Defendant-Appellant, A.W. Chesterton Company et al., Defendants.

Notice: THE PAGINATION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING RELEASE OF THE FINAL PUBLISHED VERSION.

THIS OPINION IS UNCORRECTED AND SUBJECT TO REVISION BEFORE PUBLICATION IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS.

Prior History: [*1] Order, Supreme Court, New York County (Adam Silvera, J.), entered October 23, 2023, which denied defendant Ameron International Corporation's motion for summary judgment dismissing the complaint and all cross-claims against it, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Core Terms

plant, pipe, correctly, asbestos

Counsel: McGivney, Kluger, Clark & Intoccia, P.C., New York (Jeffrey S. Kluger of counsel), for appellant.

Simmons Hanly Conroy LLP, New York (John B. Wetmore of counsel), for respondent.

Judges: Before: Oing, J.P., Moulton, Mendez, Shulman, Pitt-Burke, JJ.

Opinion

The motion court correctly found that Ameron's evidence did not "unequivocally establish that its product could not have contributed to the causation of plaintiff's injury," and thus correctly denied Ameron's motion for summary judgment (*Reid v Georgia-Pacific Corp., 212 AD2d 462, 463 [1st Dept 1995]*). Plaintiff's

decedent (Horvath) testified that he was in contact with asbestos from Ameron's Bondstrand pipe at a sewage plant somewhere on the west side of midtown Manhattan. Ameron argues that the plant Horvath referenced could only have been the North River wastewater treatment plant, which did not exist until 1985, at a time when Ameron no longer manufactured Bondstrand pipe using asbestos. However, Ameron failed to adduce definitive evidence that Horvath [*2] worked at that specific plant. Moreover, even assuming Horvath worked at the North River plant, Ameron failed to show as a matter of law that Horvath could not have encountered its *asbestos*-containing pipe. The evidence submitted by Ameron shows that the plant was under construction for an extended period of time with multiple delays, and that contracts for materials had been entered into prior to 1985.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: April 23, 2024

End of Document